Course(s): ARTS 2 (CRN 20024) Semester: Fall 2012 Instructor(s): Lewis Goldstein

Course and Instructor(s) *Please indicate if face-to-face and/or online Face-to-Face	Lewis Goldstein ARTS 2 - Online			
Competency Sub-Category:	(Ax_ Writing	(B) Speaking	(C) Reading	
Assessment Tool/Assignment	Final essay Essay	Final speech Presentation	x Other (explain) Discussion writings	
# of 5s (superior or A work)	#of 5s_18 / _40 assessed	total # of students	% of students	
# of 4s (above average or B work)	#of 4s_5/_40 to assessed	otal # of students	% of students	
# of 3s (average or C work)	#of 3s_9/_40to assessed	otal # of students	% of students	
# of 2s (below average or D work)	#of 2s_2/ 40 to assessed	otal # of students	% of students	
# of 1s (far below average or F work)	#of 1s_6/_40 to assessed	otal # of students	% of students	
Factors that may have affected assessment results?	Reading ability of students, writing ability and internet skills all may have had some affect on assessment results.			
Assessment Group: Who was assessed	All students in all courses taught this semester _x All students inArts 2 courses taught this semester Segment of students in all courses taught this semester Segment of students in courses taught this semester Other (explain):			
Analysis of Assessment Results:	past and how that affe board questions and s majority (80%) of stud view the world throug separating their own	ects present thought was student interaction. Resu dents increased their glo h a variety of perspectiv world view from what we urrent beliefs. 20% lacke	o the art, ideas and beliefs of the s assessed through discussion ults indicated that the vast bal awareness and were able to es. 5% had some difficulty ent on before in history, judging ed either insight or participation,	
Changes to be made implemented based on assessment data analysis (check all that apply)	State criteria for g _x Make goals or o _ Revise content of _ Revise lectures/a _x Use more exam _ Increase student _ Provide more free _x Increase activitie _ Increase interacti _ Allow more time f	ples (student or other) collaboration and/or peequent or fuller feedback es that encourage core con with students outside for activity nent indicates no improv	/activity more explicit int/activities or support assignment/activity er review on student progress competency e of class	

Course(s): BIOL 1 (CRN 20061) Semester: Fall 2012 Instructor(s): Bret Sage

Course and Instructor(s) *Please indicate if face-to-face and/or online Online	Bret Sage BIOL 1 Online		
Competency Sub-Category:	(A) <u>x</u> Writing		
Assessment Tool/Assignment	Discussion Board, Week 6		
# of 5s (superior or A work)	#of 5s20 / _34 assessed		% of students58
# of 4s (above average or B work)	#of 4s7 / _34 to assessed	tal # of students	% of students _20
# of 3s (average or C work)		I # of students assessed	% of students1
# of 2s (below average or D work)		I # of students assessed	% of students1
# of 1s (far below average or F work)		I # of students assessed	% of students11
Factors that may have affected assessment results?	Some of the low score did not post for the we		to the fact that some students
Assessment Group: Who was assessed	_x All students in _ Segment of stude	courses taught this sem1 courses taught the ents in all courses taught ents in courses taught	is semester this semester
Analysis of Assessment Results:	understanding and pa		rd; many show high levels of ue to try to improve on student ow scores.
Changes to be made implemented based on assessment data analysis (check all that apply)	State criteria for g Make goals or ob _x Revise content g _x_ Revise lectures/ Use more examp Increase student _x_ Provide more free Increase activities Increase interacti Allow more time f	les (student or other) collaboration and/or pee equent or fuller feedback s that encourage core co on with students outside or activity nent indicates no improve	ctivity more explicit nt/activities /or support assignment/activity or review on student progress empetency of class

Course(s): CHLD 6 (CRN 20303) Semester: Fall 2012 Instructor(s): Rochelle Himmelrick

Course and Instructor(s) *Please indicate if face-to-face and/or online Face-to-Face	Rochelle Himmelrick CHLD 6 - Live			
Competency Sub-Category:	(A Writing	(B) Speaking	(C) Reading	
Assessment Tool/Assignment	x_ Final essay Essay	Final speechx_ Presentation	Other (explain)	
# of 5s (superior or A work)	#of 5s3_ / _10_ total	# of students assessed	% of students	
# of 4s (above average or B work)	#of 4s3_ / _10_ total	# of students assessed	% of students	
# of 3s (average or C work)	#of 3s2_/_10_ total	# of students assessed	% of students	
# of 2s (below average or D work)	#of 2s2_/_10_ total	# of students assessed	% of students	
# of 1s (far below average or F work)	#of 1s0_ / _10_ total # of students assessed % of students			
Factors that may have affected assessment results?	Student attendance			
Assessment Group: Who was assessed	All students in all courses taught this semester All students in courses taught this semester Segment of students in all courses taught this semester Segment of students in courses taught this semester Other (explain):			
Analysis of Assessment Results:				
Changes to be made implemented based on assessment data analysis (check all that apply)	State criteria for g Make goals or obj Revise content of Revise lectures/a Use more exampl Increase student of Provide more free Increase activities Increase interaction Allow more time for	es (student or other) collaboration and/or pee quent or fuller feedback of that encourage core co on with students outside or activity ent indicates no improve	ctivity more explicit t/activities or support assignment/activity r review on student progress mpetency of class	

Course(s): **ENGL 2 (CRN 20231)** Semester: **Fall 2012** Instructor(s): **John Stacy** Grade selected assignment using the rubric developed for your classes. You can generalize results based on grades as a 5 point rubric (A= 4 points, etc.). Attach and keep a copy of your rubric. Evaluate how students are mastering the core competencies. Record assessment results in the sheet below.

Course and Instructor(s) *Please indicate if face-to-face and/or online Online	John Stacy ARTS 2 - Online		
Competency Sub-Category:	(A_x_ Writing	(B)Speaking	(C) Reading
Assessment Tool/Assignment	Final essay x_ Essay	Final speech Presentation	Other (explain)
# of 5s (superior or A work)	#of 5s20_ / _25_ to assessed	otal # of students	% of students80
# of 4s (above average or B work)	#of 4s_3_/_25to	tal # of students	% of students12
# of 3s (average or C work)	#of 3s_1_ / _25 to assessed	otal # of students	% of students4
# of 2s (below average or D work)	#of 2s1 / 25 to assessed	otal # of students	% of students4
# of 1s (far below average or F work)	#of 1s/ total	# of students assessed	% of students
Factors that may have affected assessment results?			
Assessment Group: Who was assessed	All students in all courses taught this semester _xAll students in1 courses taught this semesterSegment of students in all courses taught this semesterSegment of students in courses taught this semesterOther (explain):		
Analysis of Assessment Results:	These are the results	of an analysis essay in	English Literature
Changes to be made implemented based on assessment data analysis (check all that apply)	State criteria for of Make goals or obe Revise content of Revise lectures/a Use more examp Increase student Provide more free Increase activities Increase interaction Allow more time to the Make Make Provide more free Increase interaction and the Make Make Provide more time to the Provide more time to the	les (student or other) collaboration and/or per quent or fuller feedback is that encourage core coron with students outside for activity ment indicates no impro	activity more explicit nt/activities or support assignment/activity er review on student progress ompetency e of class

Course(s): GEOL 2 (CRN 20430) Semester: Fall 2012 Instructor(s): Ewa Burchard

Course and Instructor(s) *Please indicate if face-to-face and/or online Face-to-Face	Ewa Burchard GEOL 2 – Live (Fort	Irwin)		
Competency Sub-Category:	(AX Writing	(B)X Speaking	(C)X Reading	
Assessment Tool/Assignment	X Final essay X Essay	Final speech _X Presentation	Other (explain) Discussion	
# of 5s (superior or A work)	assessed	total # of students	% of students	
# of 4s (above average or B work)	assessed	tal # of students	% of students	
# of 3s (average or C work)	#of 3s2 / _21 to assessed		% of students	
# of 2s (below average or D work)		# of students assessed	% of students	
# of 1s (far below average or F work)		# of students assessed	% of students	
Factors that may have affected assessment results?	Lack of physical models, as well as lack of maps, graphs, and other resources. Also, fast paste of large amount of California Geology content to comprehend.			
Assessment Group: Who was assessed	All students in all courses taught this semester _X All students in2 courses taught this semester Segment of students in all courses taught this semester Segment of students in courses taught this semester Other (explain):			
Analysis of Assessment Results:	about the overwhelming Also, I was teaching Chave been a little dry a examples, hands on a 11 dropped the class	ng amounts of content the California Geology for the cand no so exciting. More and connections to their loprior and during the sem		
Changes to be made implemented based on assessment data analysis (check all that apply)	_X State criteria for _X Make goals or o _X Revise content o _X Revise lectures/ _X Use more exam _X Increase studen _X Provide more from _X Increase activition Increase interac _X Allow more time	ples (student or other) t collaboration and/or pe equent or fuller feedback es that encourage core of tion with students outsid for activity ment indicates no impro-	factivity more explicit ent/activities for support assignment/activity eer review con student progress competency e of class	

- \* Global Awareness Reference table Red selections were used in the California Geology class
  - A. Analyze
    - 1. The Scientific Method: Apply scientific processes to solve problems and measure and observe natural phenomena.
    - 2. Scientific Observation: Design, perform and analyze experiments and scientific observations.
    - 3. Interconnectivity: Analyze the major differences and connections between social, natural and physical sciences.
  - B. Global Systems and Civics
    - 1. Cultural: Interface with people from a variety of backgrounds and analyze different cultural beliefs and behaviors.
    - 2. Political, Social and Economic: Recognize important economic and political issues and values in one's own community, state, country and the world.
    - 3. Environmental: Analyze the importance of the natural environment to human well being and the impact of human activity on the well being of global environmental systems.
    - 4. Integrated Systems: Assess and analyze the interconnectivity between social, political, economic, and ecological systems and activities
    - 5. Action: Develop and evaluate strategies and plans for addressing global systems and civics issues.
  - C. Artistic Variety
    - 1. Arts Awareness: Assess the visual arts, dance, music and literature of one or many cultures.
    - 2. Critical Analysis: Analyze the methods used to create art and interpret its literal and/or symbolic meaning.
    - 3. Creativity: Engage in artistic creative endeavors.

#### **Course Information**

**Geology of California - GEOL 2 0** 

**CRN:** 20430

**Students Registered:** 21

Final Gr	ades							
	Student	ID	Credits	Registration Status	Grade	Rolled	Last Attend Date MM/DD/YYYY	Attend Hours 0- 99999
1	Ahondjo, Akouavi F.	B00233534	3.000	**Web Registered** Jul 30, 2012	А	Υ	None	None
2	Amidon, Kaitlyn A.	B00228954	3.000	**Web Registered** Jul 25, 2012	A	Υ	None	None
3	Anderson, Rachael F.	B00221554	0.000	Instructor Drop w/W Nov 09, 2012	FW	Υ	10/30/2012	None
4	Bahret, David A.	B00063514	3.000	**Web Registered** Aug 06, 2012	FW	Υ	11/08/2012	None
5	Brewer, Jay M.	B00045588	3.000	**Web Registered** Aug 09, 2012	Α	Y	None	None
6	Douros, Zachary A.	B00232679	3.000	**Web Registered** Jul 03, 2012	С	Y	None	None
7	Fergusan, Dawn M.	B00028426	0.000	Instructor Drop w/W Nov 09, 2012	W	Y	None	None
8	Graham, Nicole D.	B00228932	3.000	**Web Registered** Aug 29, 2012	FW	Υ	10/30/2012	None
9	Kilafwasru, Virginia R.	B00233687	3.000	**Web Registered** Jun 21, 2012	Α	Y	None	None
10	Kim, Richard	B00233335	3.000	**Web Registered** Jul 10, 2012	Α	Y	None	None
11	Kinlaw, Sennasha S.	B00236099	3.000	Student Drop w/W Nov 21, 2012	Not Gradable	Y !	None	None
12	Koltes, Pamela F.	B00234587	0.000	Instructor Drop w/W Nov 09, 2012	FW	Y	10/30/2012	None
13	Lee, Jasmine N.	B00226883	3.000	**Web Registered** Aug 15, 2012	Α	Y	None	None
1.4	Las Debutata	D00226061	2 000	*****	^	V	Name	NI

Loc Datricia B002260012 000 \*\*Wah

17	A.	5.000	Registered** Jun 20, 2012	A	•	None	None
15	Leitsch, Bobbie A. Confidentia	B002000663.000	**Web Registered** Jun 20, 2012	Α	Υ	None	None
16	Lienert, Jennifer A.	B000528763.000	**Web Registered** Aug 16, 2012	В	Υ	None	None
17	Mata, Jessica L.	B002349283.000	**Web Registered** Sep 05, 2012	В	Υ	None	None
18	Mousa, Maya	B000700083.000	**Web  Registered** Aug 12, 2012	Α	Υ	None	None
19	Nudson, George E.	B002324933.000	**Registered** Oct 15, 2012	С	Υ	None	None
20	O`Neill, Joshua R.	B002273450.000	Instructor Drop w/W Nov 09, 2012	W	Υ	None	None
21	Paton, Benjamin M.	B002295263.000	**Web Registered** Aug 01, 2012	Α	Y	None	None
22	Pritchard, Amiee J.	B002334673.000	**Web Registered** Jul 06, 2012	Α	Y	None	None
23	Robinson, Mayra E.	B00235261 3.000	**Web Registered** Aug 13, 2012	Α	Y	None	None
24	Schroeder, Irma J.	B002263703.000	**Web Registered** Aug 08, 2012	FW	Y	10/30/2012	None
25	Seibel, Raymond M.	B002312673.000	**Web Registered** Aug 02, 2012	Α	Y	None	None
26	Smith, Chennel	B00236673 0.000	Instructor Drop w/W Nov 09, 2012	W	Y	None	None
27	Sunday, Sloan L. Confidential	B00069988 0.000	Instructor Drop w/W Nov 09, 2012	W	Y	None	None
28	Taylor, Kelly F. Confidential	B002346250.000	Instructor Drop w/W Nov 09, 2012	W	Υ	None	None
29	Teran, Linda	B002233000.000	Instructor Drop	W	Υ	None	None
	J.		w/W Nov 09, 2012				

None

14 Lee, Patricia B002208813.000 Web



### **Student Learning Outcomes Report**

(Aka Appendix B)

# Barstow College – Departmental Program Review Form Course Level

Semester: Fall / Spring / Summer Year 2012

**Department:** Geology Instructors involved in assessment: Ewa Burchard

What the results above indicate and our future expectations and pedagogy:

I	II		III		IV	V
Course & Instructor(s)	SLO#	Link to Core Competencies	Assessment Methods Used by Instructor(s)	Assessment Information	Summary of Data Collected	Implementation of Changes (if any)
Course: GEO 2 CRN# Instructor(s) Ewa Burchard	#1  California's varied landscape  Plate tectonics of W.  North America.  Earthquakes in the state.  Learning geological processes through independent research, writing, and in class presentations  One-day field trip required.	☐ Communication ☐ Critical Thinking X☐ Global Awareness X☐ Personal/ Professional Development	X Essay Project X Multiple choice X Demonstration Other:	X Qualitative X Quantitative  X All students Student Sample Group Other:	Multiple choice quizzes average grades: E1=56% - redo E1'=74% E2=67 % Essay answer exams: E3=90% Power point presentations and extra credits: Students were interested in class presentations: 14 out of 21 students used opportunities to receive extra credits	<ul> <li>Add independent research and writing scientific essays, according to specific guidelines (provided)</li> <li>Add more opportunities for presentation, discussion, and peer evaluation/review</li> <li>Add discussion on present issues related to the subject taught</li> <li>Students group work in class, hands on work</li> </ul>

Lead students to perform independent scientific study, research, and writing. Creating comfortable and stress free environment leading to in class discussions, brainstorming and questions.

Frequently communicating with the instructor (face-face, phone, email) on any level, giving the opportunity to the instructor being involved in students' educational development.

Use various testing styles, and add extra independent

Course(s): **HIST 8A (CRN 20234)** Semester: **Fall 2012** Instructor(s): **Tomasz Stanek** Grade selected assignment using the rubric developed for your classes. You can generalize results based on grades as a 5 point rubric (A= 4 points, etc.). Attach and keep a copy of your rubric. Evaluate how students are mastering the core competencies. Record assessment results in the sheet below.

Course and Instructor(s) *Please indicate if face-to-face and/or online Face-to-Face	Tomasz Stanek HIST 8A - Online			
Competency Sub-Category:	(A 3 Writing	(B) <b>N/A DE</b> Speaking	(C) 3.5 Reading	
Assessment Tool/Assignment	_X Final essay _X Essay	Final speech Presentation	Other (explain)	
# of 5s (superior or A work)	#of 5s3 / 23_ total	# of students assessed	% of students _13%	
# of 4s (above average or B work)	#of 4s <mark>9 / 23</mark> _ total	# of students assessed	% of students _40%	
# of 3s (average or C work)	#of 3s <b>1 / 23</b> _ total	# of students assessed	% of students _4%	
# of 2s (below average or D work)	#of 2s <mark>3 / 23</mark> _ total	# of students assessed	% of students _13%	
# of 1s (far below average or F work)	#of 1s 7 / 23_ total # of students assessed % of students _30%			
Factors that may have affected assessment results?	Drop out rate passed certain calendar deadline after which only F as a grade was available. Perhaps passing the class wasn't the only objective of an attendee.			
Assessment Group: Who was assessed	_X_ All students in all courses taught this semester All students in courses taught this semester Segment of students in all courses taught this semester Segment of students in courses taught this semester Other (explain):			
Analysis of Assessment Results:		sessment. Grade 'C' refle op outs. Most of students	ected poor reading/writing skills made B grade.	
Changes to be made implemented based on assessment data analysis (check all that apply)	Change curriculum (prerequisites, recommendations, or other) State criteria for grading more explicitly  X Make goals or objectives of assignment/activity more explicit  Revise content of assessment assignment/activities Revise lectures/activities to prepare and/or support assignment/activity  V Use more examples (student or other) Increase student collaboration and/or peer review Provide more frequent or fuller feedback on student progress Increase activities that encourage core competency Increase interaction with students outside of class Allow more time for activity Nothing; assessment indicates no improvement necessary Other (please describe)			

Course(s): **HIST 8A (CRN 20234)** Semester: **Fall 2012** Instructor(s): **Tomasz Stanek**Grade selected assignment using the rubric developed for your classes. You can generalize results based on grades as a 5 point rubric (A= 4 points, etc.). Attach and keep a copy of your rubric. Evaluate how students are mastering the core competencies. Record assessment results in the sheet below.

Course and Instructor(s) *Please indicate if face-to-face and/or online Face-to-Face	Tomasz Stanek HIST 8A - Online			
Competency Sub-Category:	(A 3 Writing	(B) <b>N/A DE</b> Speaking	(C) 3.5 Reading	
Assessment Tool/Assignment	_X Final essay _X Essay	Final speech Presentation	Other (explain)	
# of 5s (superior or A work)	#of 5s <mark>3 / 23</mark> _ total #	# of students assessed	% of students _13%	
# of 4s (above average or B work)	#of 4s <b>9 / 23</b> _ total #	of students assessed	% of students _40%	
# of 3s (average or C work)	#of 3s <b>1 / 23</b> _ total #	# of students assessed	% of students _4%	
# of 2s (below average or D work)		# of students assessed	% of students _13%	
# of 1s (far below average or F work)	#of 1s <mark>7 / 23</mark> _ total #		% of students _ <mark>30%</mark>	
Factors that may have affected assessment results?	Drop out rate passed certain calendar deadline after which only F as a grade was available. Perhaps passing the class wasn't the only objective of an attendee.			
Assessment Group: Who was assessed	_X_ All students in all courses taught this semester All students in courses taught this semester Segment of students in all courses taught this semester Segment of students in courses taught this semester Other (explain):			
Analysis of Assessment Results:		essment. Grade 'C' refle p outs. Most of students	ected poor reading/writing skills made B grade.	
Changes to be made implemented based on assessment data analysis (check all that apply)	State criteria for g X Make goals or ob X Revise content of Revise lectures/ac X Use more examp Increase student of Provide more free Increase activities Increase interactic Allow more time for	les (student or other) collaboration and/or pee puent or fuller feedback of that encourage core co on with students outside or activity tent indicates no improve	activity more explicit int/activities or support assignment/activity or review on student progress impetency of class	

## Barstow College Core Competency Assessment Reporting Sheet Core Competency: Primary Source Analysis

Course(s): HIST 8A (CRN 20071) Semester: Fall 2012 Instructor(s): Ramon Vasconcellos

Course and Instructor(s) *Please indicate if face-to-face and/or online Face-to-Face	Ramon Vasconcel HIST 8A - Online	los	
Competency Sub- Category:	(A18 Writing	(B)12 Speaking	(C)6 Reading
Assessment Tool/Assignment	Final exam Essay	N/A Final speech Presentation	_Discussion Board and Homework submissions Other (explain)
# of 5s (superior or A work)	#of 5s24 / _37_ assessed	total # of students	% of students
# of 4s (above average or B work)	#of 4s9/_37 assessed	total # of students	% of students
# of 3s (average or C work)	#of 3s4 / _37 assessed		% of students
# of 2s (below average or D work)	#of 2s_0/37_ assessed	_ total # of students	% of students
# of 1s (far below average or F work)	#of 1s_0/_37 assessed	total # of students	% of students
Factors that may have affected assessment results?	sentences and con Assignments could this assessment.	•	raph/essay format. difficult at this level and for
Assessment Group: Who was assessed	All students in Segment of stu	n all courses taught thi courses taught idents in all courses ta idents in courses :	this semester aught this semester
Analysis of Assessment Results:		nprovement toward mi	

Changes to be made implemented based on	Change curriculum (prerequisites, recommendations, or other) State criteria for grading more explicitly
assessment data	_X Make goals or objectives of assignment/activity more explicit
analysis (check all that	_X_ Revise content of assessment assignment/activities
apply)	_X_ Revise lectures/activities to prepare and/or support
	assignment/activity
	Use more examples (student or other)
	_X Increase student collaboration and/or peer review
	Provide more frequent or fuller feedback on student progress
	Increase activities that encourage core competency
	Increase interaction with students outside of class
	Allow more time for activity
	Nothing; assessment indicates no improvement necessary
	Other (please describe)

### Primary Source Analysis Skills Rubric

Name:Vasconcellos Class: History 8A Teacher: Vasconcellos Date: _Fall 2012	1 "Few" members of student sample group	2 "Some" of student sample	3 "Much" of sample	4 "All" of sample
Can define the concept of "critical thinking" prior to assessment.	12	8	14	0
2. Ability to recognize normative, subjective information from tested hypotheses and laws.	6	25	0	0
3. Aptitude in logically assessing steps that will lead to outcomes.	7	0	24	0
4. Recognition of various media in how they disseminate information and the origins of source material.	0	0	27	11
5. Students comprehend the necessity behind implementation of strategy	0	9	28	0
Sample Size: 37 students sampled from History				

8A, Fall 2012

Course(s): **HIST 8A (CRN 20440)** Semester: **Fall 2012** Instructor(s): **Paul Rittman**Grade selected assignment using the rubric developed for your classes. You can generalize results based on grades as a 5 point rubric (A= 4 points, etc.). Attach and keep a copy of your rubric. Evaluate how students are mastering the core competencies. Record assessment results in the sheet below.

Course and Instructor(s) *Please indicate if face-to-face and/or online Face-to-Face	Paul Rittman HIST 8A – Live (Fort Irwin)				
Competency Sub-Category:	(A X Writing	(B) Speaking	(C) Reading		
Assessment Tool/Assignment	X Final essay Essay	Final speech Presentation	Other (explain)		
# of 5s (superior or A work)	#of 5s 5/7 total # of students assessed		% of students 71		
# of 4s (above average or B work)	#of 4s/ total # of students assessed		% of students		
# of 3s (average or C work)	#of 3s / total # of students assessed		% of students		
# of 2s (below average or D work)	#of 2s/ total # of students assessed		% of students		
# of 1s (far below average or F work)	#of 1s 2 / 7 total # of students assessed		% of students 29		
Factors that may have affected assessment results?	Not sure what this means in the context of my class—all students who took the final exam attempted this question. Students had a 10-page handout that we read in class, as well as a study guide to the final exam, so they knew what was coming.				
Assessment Group: Who was assessed	x All students in all courses taught this semester  All students in courses taught this semester  Segment of students in all courses taught this semester  Segment of students in courses taught this semester  Other (explain):				
Analysis of Assessment Results:	Most students responded very well. Given than 71 percent of them got a perfect score on this final exam question, only minor changes are necessary.				
Changes to be made implemented based on assessment data analysis (check all that apply)	State criteria for g Make goals or obj Revise content of X Revise lectures/ac assignment/activity X Use more example Increase student Provide more fred Increase activities Increase interactic Allow more time for	es (student or other) collaboration and/or pee quent or fuller feedback of sthat encourage core co on with students outside or activity nent indicates no improv	activity more explicit of activities allor support er review on student progress ompetency of class		

Course(s): POLI 2 (CRN 20429) Semester: Fall 2012 Instructor(s): Jacob Lenerville

Course and Instructor(s) *Please indicate if face-to-face and/or online Face-to-Face	Jacob Lenerville POLI 2 – Live (Fort Irwin)					
Competency Sub-Category:	(A_X_ Writing	(B) Speaking	(C) Rea	ding		
Assessment Tool/Assignment	Final essay Essay	Final speech Presentation	_ <u>X</u> Other (e) Research pape Comparison Pape	r: Political		
# of 5s (superior or A work)	#of 5s_8/_19 tota	I # of students assessed	% of students _	42		
# of 4s (above average or B work)		# of students assessed	% of students _	<u>26</u>		
# of 3s (average or C work)		I # of students assessed	% of students _	_ <u>11</u>		
# of 2s (below average or D work)	#of 2s/ _ <u>19</u> total # of students assessed		% of students			
# of 1s (far below average or F work)	#of 1s4 / _19 tota	I # of students assessed	% of students _	21		
Factors that may have affected assessment results?	The four that had F's of turning in work.	did not complete the ass	ignment. They ha	ad stopped		
Assessment Group: Who was assessed						
Analysis of Assessment Results:		ents hold a strong ability to				
results.	=	outside of that which they t project such as this one get	=			
		etter master a certain area				
	progress even smoother	•				
Changes to be made implemented based on assessment data analysis (check all that apply)	State criteria for g Make goals or ob Revise content of Revise lectures/a X Use more exampl Increase student X Provide more fre Increase activities Increase interacti Allow more time f	collaboration and/or pee quent or fuller feedback is that encourage core co on with students outside or activity nent indicates no improve	ctivity more explicated tractivities or support assignman review on student progrempetency of class	nent/activity		