PROGRAM REVIEW E	EVALUATION RUBRIC
------------------	-------------------

PROGRAM/UNIT NAME:		REVIEWER:	DATE:
•	<u>.                                      </u>		<del></del>

	4. Awesome!	3. Proficiency	2. Development	1. Awareness
1. Mission and Vision  Score:  4 3 2 1  Comments:	<ul> <li>☐ Mission is well defined</li> <li>☐ Mission is specific to the unit (identifies what it does that separates it from other units)</li> <li>☐ Vision is clear and thoughtful</li> <li>☐ Mission and Vision clearly align with BCC Mission and Vision</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>☐ Mission is defined</li> <li>☐ Mission is mostly specific to the unit</li> <li>☐ Vision is meaningful and expresses ideals of the program/department</li> <li>☐ Mission and Vision align with BCC Mission and Vision</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>☐ Mission is vaguely defined</li> <li>☐ Mission is not specific to unit</li> <li>☐ Vision lacks clarity or purpose</li> <li>☐ Mission and Vision somewhat align with BCC Mission and Vision</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>☐ Mission is not defined</li> <li>☐ Vision is missing or not applicable</li> <li>☐ Mission and Vision do not align with BCC Mission and Vision</li> </ul>
2. Description and Overview  Score:  3 0 2 1	<ul> <li>□ Provides comprehensive description of program/department organization</li> <li>□ Staffing and structure are thoroughly addressed</li> <li>□ Clearly identifies all stakeholders and services</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Provides adequate description of program/department organization</li> <li>□ Staffing and structure are sufficiently addressed</li> <li>□ Most stakeholders and services are identified</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Provides limited description of program/department organization</li> <li>□ Staffing and structure are somewhat addressed</li> <li>□ Identifies some stakeholders and services</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Program/department minimally or not defined</li> <li>□ Staffing and structure are minimally or not addressed</li> <li>□ Stakeholders and services are only vaguely identified</li> </ul>
Comments:				
3. (I) Program Data  Score:  4 3 2 1	<ul> <li>□ (3.A.) Performance data entered and trends discussed thoroughly</li> <li>□ (3.B.1. and 3.B.2.) Includes PLO and SLO assessment findings and discusses changes made as a result.</li> <li>□ (3.B.3. and 3.C.3.) A comprehensive plan is presented for the next assessment cycle.</li> <li>□ (3.C.4.) Includes detailed analysis of data on long term goals and objectives</li> <li>□ (3.D.) Contains thorough discussion regarding the program's Two-Year Plan, and the following: 3.D.1.; 3.D.2, 3.D.3.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ (3.A.) Performance data entered and trends briefly discussed</li> <li>□ (3.B.1. and 3.B.2.) Includes some PLO and SLO assessment findings with brief discussion of changes made as a result.</li> <li>□ (3.B.3. and 3.C.3.) An adequate plan is presented for the next assessment cycle.</li> <li>□ (3.C.4.) Includes analysis of data on long term goals and objectives</li> <li>□ (3.D.) Contains discussion regarding the program's Two-Year Plan, and the following: 3.D.1.; 3.D.2, 3.D.3.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ (3.A.) Performance data entered; trends not described</li> <li>□ (3.B.1. and 3.B.2.) Includes minimal PLO and SLO assessment findings with very little discussion of changes made.</li> <li>□ (3.B.3. and 3.C.3.) Plans for the next assessment cycle are vague.</li> <li>□ (3.C.4.) Contains only superficial analysis of data on long term goals and objectives</li> <li>□ (3.D.) Discussion of the Two-Year Plan is limited or not focused. The following areas are mentioned: 3.D.1., 3.D.2, 3.D.3.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ (3.A.) Performance data not entered or not complete; trends not included</li> <li>□ (3.B.1. and 3.B.2.) PLO and SLO assessment findings are not included</li> <li>□ (3.B.3. and 3.C.3.) Does not include plans for next assessment cycle</li> <li>□ (3.C.4.) Analysis of data on long term goals and objectives is limited or missing</li> <li>□ (3.D.) Discussion of the Two-Year Plan and the following are minimal or missing: 3.D.1.; 3.D.2, 3.D.3.</li> </ul>
Comments:				

## PROGRAM REVIEW EVALUATION RUBRIC

PROGRAM/UNIT NAME:	REVIEWER:	DATE:
-	<u> </u>	

	4. Awesome!	3. Proficiency	2. Development	1. Awareness
3. (NI) Data  Score:  4 3 2 1	☐ (3.A.1.) Assessment measures include comprehensive and relevant quantitative and qualitative data. ☐ (3.A.2. and 3.B.1.) Summary of assessment results provides thorough evaluation of data and an in-depth analysis of the findings. ☐ (3.B.2.) Contains detailed description of improvements made or planned as a result of outcomes assessment process.	□ (3.A.1) Assessment measures include relevant quantitative and/or qualitative data.      □ (3.A.2. and 3.B.1.) Summary of assessment results provides evaluation of data and analysis of the findings.      □ (3.B.2.) Contains description of improvements made or planned as a result of outcomes assessment process.	□ (3.A.1) Assessment measures are not relevant or are incomplete.      □ (3.A.2. and 3.B.1.) Summary of assessment results provides only limited evaluation of data and little or no analysis of the findings.      □ (3.B.2.) Contains only brief reference to improvements made or planned as a result of outcomes assessment process.	<ul> <li>☐ (3.A.1) Assessment measures not included.</li> <li>☐ (3.A.2. and 3.B.1.) Summary of assessment results provides little or no evaluation of data or analysis of the findings.</li> <li>☐ (3.B.2.) Reference to improvements made or planned as a result of outcomes assessment process is minimal or not included.</li> </ul>
Comments:				
4. (I) Curriculum  Score:  4. (I) Curriculum  Comments:	<ul> <li>□ Program review accurately reflects course offerings and honestly assesses new courses and/or personnel that may be needed</li> <li>□ Describes and analyzes revisions and updates to the program, as applicable</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Program review lists course offerings and suggests some changes.</li> <li>□ Describes revisions and updates to the program, as applicable</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Course offerings are listed.</li> <li>□ Briefly describes revisions and updates to the program, as applicable</li> </ul>	☐ Course offerings are partially listed. ☐ Revisions and updates have taken place, but have not been described
Comments.	ı	ı	T	Γ
4. (NI) Policies & Processes  Score:  4	□ Strong knowledge of changes to internal and external regulations and impact on department. Forward-thinking narrative that includes information about possible changes to regulations and future impacts on the unit, as applicable. □ Detailed description of changes/updates to policies and processes in department, as applicable. □ Analyzes departmental policies and processes in terms of effectiveness and contribution to student success	<ul> <li>☐ Knowledge of changes to internal and external regulations and impact on department.</li> <li>☐ Description of changes/updates to policies and processes in department, as applicable</li> <li>☐ Describes departmental policies and processes in terms of effectiveness and contribution to student success</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Listed specific changes to policies, procedures and processes.</li> <li>□ Description of the impact present but lacks detail.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Listed some vague changes in policies, procedures, processes.</li> <li>□ Description of the impact on the unit is limited or missing.</li> </ul>
Comments:				

## PROGRAM REVIEW EVALUATION RUBRIC

PROGRAM/UNIT NAME:	Reviewer:	DATE:
_		

	4. Awesome!	3. Proficiency	2. Development	1. Awareness
5. Internal Factors  Score:  4 3 2 1	<ul> <li>□ Comprehensive evaluation of strengths.</li> <li>□ Comprehensive evaluation of weaknesses.</li> <li>□ Extensive evaluation of progress since last program review.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Description of strengths adequate.</li> <li>□ Description of weaknesses adequate.</li> <li>□ Adequate evaluation of progress since last program review.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Description of strengths vague.</li> <li>□ Description of weaknesses vague.</li> <li>□ Evaluation of progress since last program review is vague.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Description of strengths is missing.</li> <li>□ Description of weaknesses is missing.</li> <li>□ Evaluation of progress since last program review is missing.</li> </ul>
Comments:				
6. External Factors  Score:  4 3 2 1	<ul> <li>□ Comprehensive evaluation of opportunities.</li> <li>□ Comprehensive evaluation of threats.</li> <li>□ Extensive evaluation of process since last program review.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Descriptions of opportunities adequate.</li> <li>□ Descriptions of threats adequate.</li> <li>□ Adequate evaluation of progress since last program review.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Descriptions of opportunities vague.</li> <li>□ Descriptions of threats vague.</li> <li>□ Evaluation of progress since last program review is vague.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Description of opportunities is missing.</li> <li>□ Description of threats is missing.</li> <li>□ Evaluation of progress since last program review is missing.</li> </ul>
Comments:				
7. Continuing Education and Professional Development Score:  4 3 2 1	<ul> <li>□ Continuing Education/Professional         Development opportunities are clearly described for all areas of the unit.     </li> <li>□ An ongoing plan for CE/PD and how it will benefit the unit is included.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Continuing Education/ Professional Development opportunities are listed</li> <li>□ A plan for continuing CE/PD is included.</li> </ul>	□ Some Continuing Education and Professional Development is listed.     □ Minimal plans for continuing CE/PD.	<ul> <li>□ Continuing Education and Professional Development information is absent.</li> <li>□ No plans for Continuing Education and Professional Development are listed for the next PR cycle.</li> </ul>
Comments:				
8. Prior Goals and Objectives  Score:  4 3 2 1	<ul> <li>☐ Significant progress on previous goals and objectives has been made</li> <li>☐ Each of the goals and objectives has been linked to increasing the effectiveness of the unit.</li> <li>☐ All goals and objectives have been assessed, analyzed and evaluated.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Progress on previous goals and objectives is sufficient</li> <li>□ Description of progress is linked to the overall effectiveness of the unit</li> <li>□ Most of the goals and objectives have been assessed.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Some progress has been made on previous goals and objectives.</li> <li>□ Some assessment measures have been identified.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Little to no progress has been made on previous goals and objectives.</li> <li>□ No assessment measurements are included.</li> </ul>
Comments:				

PROGRAM	<b>REVIEW</b>	<b>EVALUATION</b>	RUBRIC

Program/Unit Name:	REVIEWER:	DATE:
--------------------	-----------	-------

	4. Awesome!	3. Proficiency	2. Development	1. Awareness
9. Action Plan: Goals/Objectives/Actions  Score: 4  3  2  1	<ul> <li>□ Action Plans are well defined and present a detailed plan to improve the unit</li> <li>□ Action Plans are attainable within the time period.</li> <li>□ Unit needs were identified through assessment.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Action Plans are adequate.</li> <li>□ Action Plans address some previous assessment activity.</li> <li>□ Few unit needs drawn from previous assessment.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>☐ Action Plans are vague</li> <li>☐ Action Plans not specific to targeted time period.</li> </ul>	☐ Action plans are not defined
Comments:				
10. Resources (if requested)  Score:  4 3 2 1	<ul> <li>☐ Resources needed are clearly identified.</li> <li>☐ Program Review gave solid reasoning for resource request, and included appropriate data for support.</li> <li>☐ Program Review tied resource request to Goals/Objectives/Actions.</li> <li>☐ Budget Allocation Proposal was attached, was clearly filled out, and made solid connections to the planning process, including the program review.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Resources needed are adequately identified.</li> <li>□ Budget Allocation Proposal attached, with some connections to the planning process and program review.</li> <li>□ Adequate reasoning provided for resource request, with some data included.</li> <li>□ Goals/Objectives/Actions and/or Program Review reference the need for the resource request.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Identification and/or definition of resources needed is limited</li> <li>□ Budget Allocation Proposal is attached, but lacks connection to the planning process and/or the program review.</li> <li>□ Program Review lacked adequate reasoning, and/or data to support resource request.</li> <li>□ Program Review and/or Goals/Objectives/Actions have only limited ties to resource request.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Budget Allocation Proposal was missing or lacked effort.</li> <li>□ Program Review made no connection to resource request.</li> </ul>
Comments:				
Overall Program Review Comments:				